

From: [REDACTED]
To: [LDP](#)
Subject: Local development Plan at Potterton 2020
Date: 26 July 2020 12:32:14

Dear sir I wish to lodge a further objection to this proposal.

There has been no public consultations with Belhelvie Community Council, Barratts or any of the other interested parties even though in all literature it states that the residents have been consulted on everything. The residents of Potterton have had no consultation to demonstrate consensus that they would exchange green belt land to make way for a Man Shed or Hall. the residents do not want to integrity of the village to be compromised for a couple of extras. In the last couple of days Barratts left some information/literature at the local shop only because the local group "Keep Potterton Green" had voiced their concern that this literature had not been made available.

Other point I would like to make is that the village of Potterton is made up of either one or 1 1/2 storey houses. The application is made to build town houses or terraced houses, trying to erect as many houses as they can into the development. This is not in keeping with the character of our village. Also these plans do not highlight any pavements or green area in this plan. I thought the council want to encourage outside activity to strengthen health, wellbeing, mindfulness and strengthen mental health throughout the area. Wont be much of any if this development puts trucks and diggers on to the roads.

Also in correspondence it is noted that Potterton is part of the Strategic Growth Plan which is untrue. Potterton is part of the Local Growth Area and this was noted by the Scottish Government Reporter the last time issue of the LDP. Nothing has changed changed to put Potterton into the Strategic Growth Plan??

I would also like to complain about the lack of empathy from the Belhelvie Community Council who should be the residents of Potterton's voice. It has been noted that a comment made was "Its Potterton's turn for development". Also there is no sign in any of the minutes that consultation has taken place with Barratts over this development but it has gone ahead but not been minuted. Also last weeks meeting representatives of Potterton were invited a matter of hours before meeting to attend if they wished. One was on holiday, one was away from home for work and one was putting baby to bed so not possible. But the gentleman who owns the land was at the Zoom meeting and only declared as a resident of Belhelvie and not an interested party. The BCC are not following the primary rules of a meeting when all interested parties should declare their interest. It would seem that the residents of Potterton are being let down by their own representatives. When are the next elections?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Diane Massie
Resident