

PROPOSED ABERDEENSHIRE LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2020

RESPONSE FORM

As part of the production of the Local Development Plan, a 'Main Issues Report' was published in January 2019. The responses from these consultations have helped to inform the content of the Proposed Local Development Plan ("the Proposed Plan").

The Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan will direct decision-making on land-use planning issues and planning applications in Aberdeenshire for the 10-year period from 2021 to 2031. The Proposed Plan was agreed by Aberdeenshire Council in March 2020 as the settled view of the Council. However, the Proposed Plan will be subjected to an independent examination and is now open for public comment.

This is your opportunity to tell us if anything should be changed in the Proposed Plan, and why.

When writing a response to the Proposed Plan it is important to specifically state the modification(s) that you would wish to see to the Plan.

This is the only remaining opportunity to comment on the Proposed Plan. The reasons for any requested changes will be analysed and reported to Scottish Ministers. They will then appoint a person known as a Reporter to conduct a public examination of the Proposed Plan, focusing particularly on any unresolved issues and the changes sought.


Ministers expect representations (or responses) to be concise (no more than 2000 words) and accompanied by limited supporting documents. It is important to ensure that all of the information that you wish to be considered is submitted during this consultation period as there is no further opportunity to provide information, unless specifically asked.

Please email comments to ldp@aberdeenshire.gov.uk or send this form to reach us by 31 July 2020*.

We recommend that you keep a copy of your representation for your own records.

*UPDATE 16 June 2020: Consultation period was extended from 17 July 2020 for a further two-week period.





Please use this form to make comments on the Proposed Aberdeenshire Local Development Plan 2020. If you are making comments about more than one topic it would be very beleful if you could fill in a separate response form for

helpful if you could fill in a separate response form for each issue you wish to raise.

Please email or send the form to reach us by 31 July 2020 at the following address:

Post: Planning Policy Team, Infrastructures Services
Aberdeenshire Council, Woodhill House, Westburn Road, ABERDEEN, AB16 5GB

Email: Idp@aberdeenshire.gov.uk

Please refer to our **Privacy Notice** at the end of this form for details of your rights under the Data Protection Act.

YOUR DETAILS

Title:	Mr
First Name:	Ian A
Surname:	Downie
Date:	31st July 2020
Postal Address:	case CONSULTING Limited
Postcode:	
Telephone Number:	
Email:	

Are you happy to receive future correspondence only by email? Yes x No

Are you responding on behalf of another person? Yes X No

If yes who are you representing? Various developers and landowners

Tick the box if you would like to subscribe to the Aberdeenshire LDP eNewsletter:

An acknowledgement will be sent to this address soon after the close of consultation.

YOUR COMMENTS

Please provide us with your comments below. We will summarise comments and in our analysis will consider every point that is made. Once we have done this we will write back to you with Aberdeenshire Council's views on the submissions made. We will publish your name as the author of the comment, but will not make your address public.

Modification that you wish to see (please make specific reference to the section of the Proposed Plan you wish to see modified if possible, for example Section 9, paragraph E1.1):

Reduce the OP4 allocation in Methlick to 12 houses. Adjust Table 2, Appendix 6 and the allocation statement bin the settlement statement accordingly. Identify an additional allocation or allocation for 51 houses to meet the strategic allowances in the Formartine part of the Aberdeen HMA.

Reason for change:

Bearing in mind that the OP2 allocation has supported a planning application for only five houses the proposition that the OP4 site can accommodate 63 houses is totally unrealistic. The shear difference in density between these two adjacent allocations would simply not be acceptable in aesthetic terms. Such a proposition would be difficult to provide adequate vehicular access from two points off the main road and, of course, there is no capacity in the WWTP to accommodate such a large and alien development proposal in a small rural settlement.

Given the substantial shortfall in delivering sufficient effective allocations to satisfy the provisions of paragraph 110 of SPP (See Primary Representation on Housing Land Supply in the AHMA) care must be taken to ensure that site capacities are realistic and not grossly overstated merely to 'make up the numbers'. The task is to actually deliver houses in locations where demand exists rather than fill in schedules of housing allocations with unrealistic and unattainable figures.